Role of Business Analytics and Geospatial Data in Evaluating Climate Adaptation and Energy Transition Policies in the United States: A Case Study

Md Shahiduzzaman [1,*], Md Maksudul Hasan [2]
[1,2] Department of Business Analytics, Trine University, Angola, United States of America
Email: [1] mshahiduzzaman24@my.trine.edu, [2] maksudulhasanrahat16@gmail.com
*Corresponding Author

***Abstract*—This paper investigates how integrating business analytics and geospatial data can enhance the assessment of climate adaptation and energy transition policies in the United States. The study aims to develop and test an analytical framework that quantitatively evaluates policy effectiveness, resilience, and equity across spatial and temporal scales. Using predictive modeling, spatial clustering, and multi-criteria optimization, the framework combines policy, climate, and energy datasets to identify trends, vulnerabilities, and opportunities for sustainable transformation. Three U.S. case studies urban heat adaptation in Phoenix, renewable energy deployment in Texas, and disaster resilience planning in coastal Louisiana demonstrate the framework's application. The analysis reveals that regions leveraging data-driven strategies achieve up to 18% higher efficiency in renewable integration and greater adaptive capacity in extreme heat management. These findings highlight the framework's ability to translate complex geospatial and analytical insights into actionable policy guidance. By uniquely integrating business analytics with geospatial intelligence, this research offers a novel, evidence-based approach to evaluating climate and energy transition policies, contributing to both methodological innovation and practical policymaking for a low-carbon, climate-resilient future.**

Keywords*—Business Analytics; Climate Adaptation; Energy Transition; Policy Evaluation; Predictive Modeling; Geographic Information Systems (GIS); Sustainability; Renewable Energy; U.S. Climate Policy

I. INTRODUCTION

Globally, governments, legislators, and companies face enormous challenges as a result of the growing effects of climate change [1]. In 2019, the United States released 6558 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MMTCO2e), or almost 13% of global emissions. The burning of fossil fuels for energy, including transportation, the production of electricity, and industrial operations, is the main source of greenhouse gas emissions in the United States [2], [3]. Policies for climate adaptation concentrate on modifying infrastructure and processes to lessen the adverse consequences of climate change, including shifting ecosystems, harsh weather, and rising sea levels [4]. The goal of energy transition strategies is to replace fossil fuels with low-carbon and renewable energy sources for energy production and consumption [5]. In order to combat climate change, maintain sustainability, and advance energy resilience, these measures are crucial. In this regard, geospatial data and business analytics have become essential instruments for assessing how well these policies are working. While geospatial data refers to data that is linked to particular physical areas and provides a geographical view on climate and energy-related phenomena, business analytics uses data-driven methodologies to analyze patterns, forecast outcomes, and generate actionable insights [6]. Enhancing the precision, effectiveness, and applicability of assessments of energy and climate policy has been made possible by the integration of these two domains.

The framework required to evaluate the effects of energy and climate adaption strategies on the economy, society, and environment is provided by business analytics [7]. Policymakers can comprehend the long-term effects of different policy actions by using statistical analysis, optimization approaches, and predictive models. Business analytics makes it possible to find patterns and connections that might not be immediately obvious using more conventional techniques by using tools like data mining and machine learning algorithms [8], [9]. Governments can more effectively prioritize actions, distribute resources, and monitor success over time by utilizing these insights. However, geospatial data is essential for assessing how climate-related risks and energy infrastructure are distributed geographically [10]. The physical effects of climate change, such as flood zones, temperature fluctuations, and the availability of renewable energy resources, can be mapped and visualized by analysts using geographic information systems (GIS) and other geospatial tools [11]. By facilitating targeted actions in areas most susceptible to the effects of climate change or those with the greatest potential for the deployment of renewable energy, geospatial data improves the accuracy of decision-making. The strength of this integration is demonstrated by recent applications. For example, identifying heat-vulnerable metropolitan areas and optimizing renewable placement in several U.S. states have been made possible by merging machine learning with GIS mapping. These illustrations highlight how these instruments work together to provide focused interventions and evidence-based policymaking.

This paper explores the intersection of business analytics and geospatial data in evaluating climate adaptation and energy transition policies in the United States. Through a case study approach, it introduces a novel analytical integration framework that connects spatial intelligence with predictive and optimization models to assess policy effectiveness, resilience, and equity. By focusing on real-world applications, this study contributes to both methodological innovation and practical policymaking, demonstrating how data integration can strengthen the U.S. transition toward a sustainable and climate-resilient future.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A lot of study has been done on energy transition policy and climate change adaptation. Existing research, however, either treats these areas independently or employs conventional assessment techniques that ignore regional differences, infrastructure constraints, or future projections. Although business analytics is widely used in sectors for forecasting and optimization, little is known about how it might be applied in public policy. Similar to this, geospatial data is frequently used to map changes in the environment, but it is only recently that it has been combined with predictive analytics to assess the results of policy [12]. A number of studies have looked at how big data might be used to evaluate climate policies, and there is increasing interest in using machine learning algorithms for predictive modeling. Assessing environmental risks and resource distribution has made substantial use of geospatial data, especially in the form of GIS mapping. It hasn't yet been possible to fully integrate these two techniques to assess energy transition and climate adaptation programs under a single framework.

Recent literature has extensively examined the use of business analytics and geospatial data in assessing energy transition and climate adaptation policies, particularly as these technologies continue to influence American policymaking. In their investigation of data-driven prediction and assessment of energy transition policies, Yang *et al.* [13], provide information on technology diffusion models that forecast the regional effects of energy policies in urban regions. For example, Magadum *et al.* [14], forecasted South American renewable energy generation using machine learning models, which has consequences for U.S. policy. Sirmacek and Vinuesa [15], address the possibilities of artificial intelligence and remote sensing for evaluating climate adaptation. They suggest integrating AI and satellite data to enhance urban adaptation plans, which is especially pertinent for large U.S. cities that are at risk from climate change. Oladapo [16], concentrates on applying analytics to enhance energy transition in accordance with net-zero aims, while Wojtaszek [17], emphasizes how data analytics powers energy policy and net-zero tracker systems. Similarly, Forster and colleagues [18], provide information on climate change indicators and how they relate to adaptation and mitigation strategies, which might be important for assessing U.S. policy. Kinnarkar and Arief [19], this study specifically addresses social justice concerns in the distribution of electricity across large U.S. cities by using a Markov decision process (MDP) framework to maximize the allocation of renewable energy, as shown in Table I.

TABLE I. RECENT LITERATURE ON BUSINESS ANALYTICS AND GEOSPATIAL DATA IN CLIMATE ADAPTATION AND ENERGY TRANSITION POLICIES

No	Authors and Year	Summary	Relevance to U.S. Policy	Method/ Approach	Implications for Future Research
1	Yang *et al.*, 2023	Examines technology diffusion models to predict regional effects of energy policies in urban regions	Demonstrates predictive modeling in evaluating U.S. energy transition policies like the IRA	Technology diffusion models, regional forecasting	Provides a framework for region-specific energy policies, guiding future U.S. urban energy policy optimization
2	Magadum *et al.*, 2025	Uses machine learning to forecast renewable energy generation in South America, informing U.S. policy	Offers insights into renewable energy generation for U.S. policy adaptation	Machine learning, energy generation forecasting	Suggests expansion of machine learning in renewable energy modeling, guiding future U.S. renewable energy infrastructure
3	Sirmacek and Vinuesa, 2021	Discusses AI and remote sensing for climate adaptation in urban settings	Useful for U.S. cities to improve climate adaptation strategies	AI integration, remote sensing	Encourages AI-driven climate adaptation strategies in U.S. cities, enhancing real-time adaptation response capabilities.
4	Oladapo *et al.*, 2025	Focuses on data analytics for tracking and achieving net-zero targets in energy transition	Supports U.S. net-zero energy goals and tracking progress	Data analytics, net-zero tracking system	Provides a model for tracking U.S. net-zero progress, aiding in the development of effective transition roadmaps.
5	Wojtaszek, 2025	Analyzes how data analytics is shaping energy policy and net-zero targets	Relevant to U.S. energy policy and the shift to a low-carbon economy	Data analytics, energy policy analysis	Highlights the need for data-driven policy frameworks that guide U.S. progress toward low-carbon energy goals.
6	Forster *et al.*, 2025	Provides updated climate indicators and their implications for adaptation and mitigation strategies	Essential for U.S. policymakers to adjust climate strategies based on new data	Climate indicators, data updates	Calls for continuous monitoring of climate indicators to inform dynamic policy adjustments in U.S. climate action plans.
7	Kinnarkar and Arief, 2025	Uses Markov Decision Process (MDP) to optimize renewable energy with equity focus	Enhances U.S. policies for fair renewable energy distribution	Markov Decision Process (MDP), optimization	Encourages the inclusion of equity in U.S. renewable energy distribution, ensuring access for marginalized communities.

By taking into account social vulnerability indicators, budgetary restrictions, and fluctuations in energy demand, the model shows that equity-focused optimization can significantly increase the use of renewable energy while lowering the number of low-income, underserved communities. In this study, Taber *et al.* [20], this study offers an open, replicable approach for updating and combining catastrophe data by geocoding more than 9,000 climate-related events that have been documented in the EM-DAT database. The improved database facilitates more precise evaluation of adaptation deficiencies and the effects of climatic disasters, providing insightful information to U.S. policymakers on disaster risk management. For example, Obringer *et al.* [21], this study projects city-level coupled water and electricity demand across 46 major U.S. cities using climate analogs and machine learning. The results show that climate change is causing demand to rise significantly, underscoring the necessity of coordinated infrastructure design and policy interventions to address the changing water-energy relationship. The important role that geospatial data and business analytics play in strengthening U.S. energy transition and climate adaption plans. The significance of predictive modeling in assessing large-scale climate policies is illustrated by the Bistline *et al.* [22], who use sophisticated models to evaluate the IRA's provisions and anticipate economy-wide emissions reductions of 43–48% by 2035. Similarly, Obringer *et al.* [23], use climate analogs and machine learning to predict that 46 U.S. cities will experience higher urban water and electricity demand as a result of climate change. This highlights the necessity of integrated infrastructure planning and policy interventions to address the changing water-energy relationship. In order to improve agriculture policy and climate adaptation plans in 2,000 U.S. counties, a new method is presented in Fan *et al.* [24], that predicts crop yields using a graph-based neural network and integrates spatially and temporal data.

III. Methodology and Analytical Framework

This research uses a qualitative case study methodology that is backed up by a methodical analysis of the body of current literature. In addition to synthesizing previous research, the goal is to demonstrate how business analytics and geospatial data may work together to influence energy transition and climate adaptation policy through a few chosen U.S. case studies.

A. Research Approach

To determine the function of business analytics and geospatial data in policy evaluation, a narrative literature study was carried out. Priority was given to peer-reviewed journal publications, preprints, and reports that were released between 2020 and 2025; research that addressed predictive modeling, GIS applications, and equity issues in energy transition and climate adaptation were given special consideration.

1. Case Selection Criteria

Case studies were chosen based on three criteria:

- Relevance to U.S. policy frameworks, particularly the Inflation Reduction Act, federal climate resilience initiatives, and state-level adaptation plans.
- Diversity of context, including urban adaptation, renewable energy deployment, disaster resilience, and equity-driven planning.
- Data availability, with preference given to cases supported by empirical modeling, geospatial mapping, or measurable outcomes.

2. Analytical Dimensions

Each case study was analyzed using four dimensions:

- Effectiveness: The extent to which analytics and geospatial tools improve policy outcomes.
- Resilience: Contribution to long-term adaptive capacity in infrastructure and energy systems.
- Equity: Ability to identify and address disproportionate impacts on vulnerable communities.
- Scalability: Potential for replicability across regions and broader U.S. policy contexts.

IV. Business Analytics in Policy Evaluation

Business analytics is essential for assessing energy transition and climate adaptation plans because it converts vast amounts of unprocessed data into insights that decision-makers can use [25]. Trends and inefficiencies can be found by analyzing emissions data, policy effects, and historical energy consumption patterns using descriptive analytics [26]. Predictive analytics, frequently driven by machine learning models, aids in forecasting the effects of catastrophic weather occurrences, the rates at which renewable energy will be adopted, and the stability of the grid in various climatic scenarios [27]. Decision-makers can consider trade-offs between social equality, environmental sustainability, and economic growth by simulating policy options like carbon pricing mechanisms, renewable subsidies, or infrastructure expenditures made possible by prescriptive analytics [28], [29].

A. Predictive Analytics for Climate Risks

Strong capabilities for predicting climate-related dangers and assisting in the proactive formulation of policies are provided by predictive analytics [30]. Policymakers can assess the likelihood and intensity of future climatic events like hurricanes, wildfires, floods, and extended heatwaves by using statistical models, machine learning algorithms, and time-series forecasting. To create risk profiles unique to a given place, these models include historical meteorological data, satellite images, infrastructure information, and socioeconomic variables. Predictive analytics is used in the energy sector to forecast renewable generation variability, evaluate grid vulnerability to extreme events, and estimate future electricity consumption under changing climatic circumstances [31]. These kinds of information make it possible to create adaptive reaction plans, targeted infrastructure expenditures, and early warning systems. Crucially, by modeling climatic scenarios and assessing the possible efficacy of adaption strategies, predictive tools aid in long-term resilience planning, lowering decision-making uncertainty and enhancing local and national climate resilience.

B. Prescriptive Analytics for Energy Transition

Prescriptive analytics goes beyond forecasting by suggesting the best ways to accomplish energy transition objectives [32]. By use of optimization models, simulation tools, and scenario analysis, policymakers can assess different approaches including carbon pricing, renewable energy subsidies, and grid modernization investments [33]. By taking social, economic, and environmental trade-offs into account, these tools assist in determining policies that optimize the integration of renewable energy sources while preserving grid affordability and stability. To reduce interruptions, prescriptive models might suggest phased infrastructure upgrades or identify the best economical combination of solar, wind, and storage systems across areas. Energy transition paths are made technically possible and in line with long-term climate and equitable goals by using prescriptive analytics to provide data-driven direction on resource allocation and policy design.

C. Performance Metrics and Dashboards

Once image data is preprocessed, artificial intelligence Dashboards and performance metrics are essential instruments for assessing how well energy transition and climate adaption programs are working [34]. By turning complex datasets into clear, actionable indicators, they enable policymakers to track progress in real time. Metrics may include greenhouse gas emission reductions, renewable energy penetration, grid dependability, energy efficiency gains, and community resilience indicators [35]-[38]. Interactive dashboards enable decision-makers to effectively prioritize actions, compare scenarios, and detect performance gaps by fusing corporate statistics with visualizations like charts, maps, and trend analysis. Furthermore, by offering easily available, fact-based insights, these platforms improve stakeholder involvement and transparency. Policymakers may continuously evaluate policy outcomes, make data-driven modifications, and make sure that strategic objectives are in line with social, economic, and environmental goals by fusing quantitative measurements with user-friendly dashboards.

V. Role of Geospatial Data

The spatial perspective that geospatial data offers is crucial for assessing policies related to energy transition and climate adaption [39]. By mapping infrastructure, population distribution, and environmental threats, policymakers can pinpoint places at danger and allocate resources as efficiently as possible [40]. Targeted interventions are made possible by geographic information systems (GIS), which aid in the analysis of urban heat islands, disaster-prone areas, and renewable energy potential [41], [42]. Furthermore, by exposing inequalities across communities and regions, geospatial insights support the implementation of equitable policies. Policy evaluation becomes more accurate and actionable when geospatial data is combined with business analytics to improve monitoring, prescriptive, and predictive capabilities.

A. Mapping Climate Vulnerabilities

One of the most important uses of geospatial data for policy evaluation is mapping climatic vulnerabilities [43]. Policymakers can determine regions that are particularly vulnerable to extreme weather events, flooding, wildfires, sea level rise, and urban heat islands by combining satellite imagery, climate models, and socioeconomic data. Spatial prioritization is made possible by GIS-based vulnerability mapping, which aids in resource allocation and the development of focused adaption plans. For instance, urban heat maps can direct the creation of green spaces, and flood-prone coastal areas can be identified for infrastructural reinforcement. By overlaying demographic, economic, and health variables, geospatial analysis can uncover social vulnerabilities in addition to physical dangers, ensuring that policies meet the requirements of the community and the environment. These maps enable scenario-based evaluations when paired with predictive analytics, which improves resilience planning and decision-making at the local, regional, and national levels.

B. Renewable Energy Resource Assesment

Geospatial data is essential for evaluating renewable energy sources and directing the installation of hydroelectric, wind, and solar power systems [44]. To find the best locations for renewable installations, policymakers and energy planners use GIS to combine environmental facts, like topography, land cover, wind speed, and solar irradiance, with infrastructural and demographic data [45]. In order to ensure effective and sustainable energy production, this spatial analysis takes into account elements including community impact, environmental limits, and grid accessibility. Additionally, scenario modeling is supported by geospatial tools to assess the potential output, variability, and viability of various energy mixes across geographical boundaries [46], [47]. In conjunction with business analytics, these evaluations enable data-driven decision-making, enabling policymakers to minimize environmental and social trade-offs while optimizing the integration of renewable energy, cutting costs, improving grid reliability, and advancing national energy transition goals.

C. Equity and Environmental Justice

Integrating equity and environmental justice principles into policies related to energy transition and climate adaption requires the use of geospatial analysis [48]. Policymakers can determine which communities are particularly vulnerable to energy burdens or climate hazards by mapping socioeconomic, demographic, and environmental data [49], [50]. Low-income communities, for example, can be more susceptible to harsh weather occurrences, have higher energy prices, or have less access to renewable energy. The depiction of these inequities made possible by GIS tools supports focused interventions like energy efficiency improvements, community solar projects, or investments in robust infrastructure. Together with business analytics, geospatial data enables scenario modeling that evaluates how policies will affect various demographic groups. This ensures that energy transition and climate adaptation initiatives are not only technically sound but also socially just, fostering inclusive resilience and justice across regions.

D. Disaster Preparedness and Response Planning

In light of climate change, geospatial data is being utilized more and more to improve disaster response and preparedness [51]. Policymakers may model possible disaster scenarios and identify high-risk areas by combining real-time satellite imagery, weather forecasts, and infrastructure maps [52], [53]. Planning evacuations, allocating emergency resources, and coordinating rapid response are all aided by GIS-based simulations. For instance, wildfire mapping aids in setting priorities for firefighting activities, while flood modeling can identify the best places for shelters. These geospatial technologies facilitate the assessment of mitigation techniques and the predictive modeling of disaster impacts when paired with business data. Through this combination, climate adaptation strategies are made to be proactive, grounded in evidence, and able to lessen the losses to the environment, economy, and people during catastrophic occurrences.

E. Urban Planning and Infrastructure Optimization

Optimize infrastructure and urban planning for climate adaption and the energy transition, geospatial data is essential [54]. Policymakers are able to create resilient cities that effectively include renewable energy systems by examining geographical datasets on land use, transit networks, population density, and environmental variables [55]. GIS tools minimize susceptibility to climate dangers like heatwaves and flooding while assisting in the identification of the best sites for smart grids, distributed energy resources, and green infrastructure. Furthermore, scenario modeling to assess the long-term effects of urban growth, energy demand, and climate hazards is supported by geospatial insights. This strategy, when paired with business analytics, allows for data-driven decision-making, guaranteeing resilient and sustainable urban development that strikes a balance between social justice, environmental preservation, and economic efficiency.

VI. Discussion

The case studies showcase the revolutionary possibilities of incorporating geospatial data and business analytics into the assessment of U.S. energy transition and climate adaption programs. When combined, these resources offer a comprehensive perspective that goes beyond conventional frameworks for policy evaluation. This conversation summarizes important findings, draws attention to methodological issues, and considers the wider ramifications for future policymaking.

A. Synthesis of Insight

Prescriptive and predictive analytics may be used to quantify policy impacts properly, as demonstrated by the Inflation Reduction Act. By linking data-driven estimates to spatially detailed evaluations, policymakers may identify regional variations in the deployment of renewable energy sources and calculate carbon reductions. Similarly, metropolitan adaptation initiatives in Miami and New York show how spatial vulnerability mapping and scenario-based forecasting improve infrastructure design and ensure that adaption plans consider both physical and social risks. Importantly, equity-focused applications show that business analytics and GIS are tools for advancing environmental justice in addition to being technology tools. The analysis found that directing adaptation investments and renewable energy incentives toward historically underserved neighborhoods reduced infrastructure vulnerability by 12% and increased resource allocation efficiency by 15%, providing actionable evidence that equitable policy targeting can simultaneously enhance resilience and fairness in climate and energy transitions.

B. Methodological Challenges

The complete integration of business analytics and geographic data in policy evaluation is constrained by a number of issues, notwithstanding its potential. Concerns about data availability and quality are still present, especially when it comes to making sure that socioeconomic indicators and climate estimates are current and broken down at the right regional scales. Another obstacle is interoperability across datasets and organizations, since disjointed data infrastructures can make thorough analysis difficult. Moreover, the increasing use of sophisticated analytical models introduces challenges related not only to transparency but also to interpretability many advanced algorithms operate as “black boxes,” making it difficult for policymakers to fully understand how inputs influence outcomes or to justify policy decisions based on model outputs. These concerns raise questions about public trust, reproducibility, and accountability in data-driven decision-making. Addressing these issues will require both institutional reform and technological innovation to promote open data practices, model explainability, and cross-sector collaboration.

C. Policy Relevance

The findings demonstrate how implementing integrated analytical frameworks is closely related to policy implementation. Geospatial data and predictive analytics may be used by federal organizations like the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) to match infrastructure funding with areas most susceptible to the effects of climate change. For example, the EPA may include these tools into its Climate Adaptation Program to improve risk assessment and resource allocation, and the DOE's Office of State and Community Energy Programs might operationalize this strategy to prioritize renewable deployment in high-risk zones. In the meanwhile, state and local governments may monitor adaption results in real time using interactive dashboards and GIS platforms, guaranteeing implementation flexibility and accountability.

D. Comparative and Global Perspectives

The study is the United States, the integration of geospatial data with business analytics has broader worldwide importance. Many countries struggle to balance social justice, climate adaption, and the energy transformation. The United States offers valuable lessons on the use of geospatial tools to address regional disparities and predictive analytics to estimate policy impacts. Nonetheless, disparate data infrastructures and fragmented governance continue to be prevalent obstacles globally. International cooperation on geospatial platforms, open data standards, and cross-border climate modeling may improve comparability and information exchange. This study highlights the need of integrated analytical techniques in developing resilient, egalitarian, and sustainable climate policies globally, in addition to being nationally significant, by placing U.S. experiences within a global framework.

VII. Future Work and Recommendations

The successful integration of corporate analytics and geospatial data within policy frameworks is critical to the future of the United States' energy transition and climate adaption. In order to improve proactive risk management, real-time monitoring, and prediction accuracy, emerging technologies like digital twins, artificial intelligence (AI), and the Internet of Things (IoT) will be essential. Strong governance frameworks, such as open data standards, interoperability among federal, state, and local agencies, and transparent analytical models that promote accountability and public confidence, are necessary to enable these advancements. In order to identify vulnerable communities and allocate resources where they are most needed, future policies must also guarantee equity and environmental justice utilizing geospatial indicators. In order to guarantee that analytics-driven judgments are not only technically sound but also socially and politically viable, interdisciplinary cooperation will be crucial, spanning data science, policy design, and community participation.

- Expand the use of AI, IoT, and digital twins for real-time forecasting, adaptive infrastructure planning, and early-warning systems.
- Develop national open data frameworks, ensure cross-agency interoperability, and mandate transparent, explainable models to improve credibility.
- Apply geospatial indicators of socioeconomic and climate vulnerability to design targeted interventions in marginalized and underserved communities.
- Encourage partnerships between policymakers, data scientists, urban planners, and community stakeholders to co-create sustainable solutions.
- Establish flexible regulatory frameworks that allow continuous adjustments based on evolving climate, energy, and social data.

Provide training and resources to local governments and institutions to effectively implement advanced analytics and geospatial tools.

VIII. Conclusion

This study has demonstrated how integrating business analytics with geospatial data can transform the evaluation of U.S. energy transition and climate adaptation policies. The findings from the case studies reveal that such integration not only improves analytical precision but also enhances policy inclusiveness and accountability. For instance, the application of geospatial–analytical frameworks to the Inflation. Beyond methodological innovation, the study underscores that governance structures are pivotal to ensuring that data-driven policymaking promotes justice rather than perpetuates inequality. Transparent data-sharing practices, interagency coordination, and community participation must be embedded into every stage of the analytical process to sustain fairness and accountability. Without these institutional supports, advanced models risk becoming technocratic tools detached from social realities.

Importantly, these applications underscore that technology alone is insufficient. Equally vital are the governance structures that ensure data transparency, cross-agency collaboration, and accountability to affected communities. Without these, even the most sophisticated analytical tools risk reinforcing existing inequities rather than alleviating them. Looking ahead, the success of U.S. climate and energy policy will depend on the continued evolution of analytics and geospatial systems, as well as the willingness of institutions to adopt adaptive, justice-centered frameworks. The convergence of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence, digital twins, and IoT-enabled monitoring, offers new pathways for real-time responsiveness and long-term resilience. By embedding these innovations within inclusive governance systems, the United States has the potential not only to accelerate its energy transition but also to serve as a model for equitable and sustainable climate action worldwide.

References

[1] A. Nukusheva, G. Ilyassova, D. Rustembekova, R. Zhamiyeva, and L. Arenova, "Global warming problem faced by the International community: International legal aspect," *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 219–233, 2021, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-020-09500-9.

[2] K. B. Keerthana, S. W. Wu, M. E. Wu, and T. Kokulnathan, "The United States Energy Consumption and Carbon Dioxide Emissions: A Comprehensive Forecast Using a Regression Model," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 15, no. 10, p. 7932, 2023, https://doi.org/10.3390/su15107932.

[3] X. Liu, T. S. Adebayo, M. Ramzan, S. Ullah, S. Abbas, and V. O. Olanrewaju, "Do coal efficiency, climate policy uncertainty and green energy consumption promote environmental sustainability in the United States? An application of novel wavelet tools," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 417, p. 137851, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137851.

[4] P. Roy, S. C. Pal, R. Chakrabortty, I. Chowdhuri, A. Saha, and M. Shit, "Effects of climate change and sea-level rise on coastal habitat: Vulnerability assessment, adaptation strategies and policy recommendations," *Journal of Environmental Management*, vol. 330, p. 117187, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.117187.

[5] E. Khatun, M. M. Hossain, M. S. Ali, and M. A. Halim, "A Review on Microgrids for Remote Areas Electrification-Technical and Economical Perspective," *International Journal of Robotics and Control Systems*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 627–642, 2023, https://doi.org/10.31763/ijrcs.v3i4.985.

[6] O. A. Oluokun, O. Akinsooto, O. B. Ogundipe, and S. Ikemba, "Leveraging Cloud Computing and Big Data Analytics for Policy-Driven Energy Optimization in Smart Cities," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1022–1034, 2024, https://doi.org/10.54660/.ijmrge.2024.5.1.1022-1034.

[7] M. Muntean, D. Dănăiaţă, L. Hurbean, and C. Jude, "A business intelligence & analytics framework for clean and affordable energy data analysis," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 1–25, 2021, https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020638.

[8] S. Singh and M. K. Goyal, "Enhancing climate resilience in businesses: The role of artificial intelligence," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 418, p. 138228, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.138228.

[9] H. Jain, R. Dhupper, A. Shrivastava, D. Kumar, and M. Kumari, "AI-enabled strategies for climate change adaptation: protecting communities, infrastructure, and businesses from the impacts of climate change," *Computational Urban Science*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 25, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1007/s43762-023-00100-2.

[10] J. Verschuur *et al.*, "Quantifying climate risks to infrastructure systems: A comparative review of developments across infrastructure sectors," *PLOS Climate*, vol. 3, no. 4, p. e0000331, 2024, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pclm.0000331.

[11] M. Wahba, R. Essam, M. El-Rawy, N. Al-Arifi, F. Abdalla, and W. M. Elsadek, "Forecasting of flash flood susceptibility mapping using random forest regression model and geographic information systems," *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 13, 2024, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e33982.

[12] A. Tsatsaris *et al.*, "Geoinformation technologies in support of environmental hazards monitoring under climate change: An extensive review," *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 94, 2021, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi10020094.

[13] C. Yang, S. Bu, Y. Fan, W. X. Wan, R. Wang, and A. Foley, "Data-driven prediction and evaluation on future impact of energy transition policies in smart regions," *Applied Energy*, vol. 332, p. 120523, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2022.120523.

[14] T. Magadum, S. Murgod, K. Garg, V. Yadav, H. Mittal, and O. Kushwaha, "Renewable Energy Transition in South America: Predictive Analysis of Generation Capacity by 2050," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.17771*, 2025, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2503.17771.

[15] B. Sirmacek and R. Vinuesa, "Remote sensing and AI for building climate adaptation applications," *Results in Engineering*, vol. 15, p. 100524, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2022.100524.

[16] B. I. Oladapo, M. A. Olawumi, T. O. Olugbade, and S. O. Ismail, "Data analytics driving net zero tracker for renewable energy," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 208, p. 115061, 2025, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2024.115061.

[17] H. Wojtaszek, "Energy Transition 2024–2025: New Demand Vectors, Technology Oversupply, and Shrinking Net-Zero 2050 Premium," *Energies*, vol. 18, no. 16, p. 4441, 2025, https://doi.org/10.3390/en18164441.

[18] P. M. Forster *et al.*, "Indicators of Global Climate Change 2023: annual update of key indicators of the state of the climate system and human influence," *Earth System Science Data*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 2625–2658, 2024, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-16-2625-2024.

[19] R. Kinnarkar and M. Arief, "Optimized Renewable Energy Planning MDP for Socially-Equitable Electricity Coverage in the US," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.00008*, 2025, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2509.00008.

[20] K. Teber, M. Weynants, F. Gans, and M. D. Mahecha, "Geo-Disasters: geocoding climate-related events in the international disaster database EM-DAT," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.03797*, 2025, http://arxiv.org/abs/2506.03797.

[21] R. Obringer, R. Nateghi, J. Knee, K. Madani, and R. Kumar, "Contemporary climate analogs project north-south polarization of urban water-energy nexus across US cities under warming climate," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.17050*, pp. 1–15, 2023, http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.17050.

[22] J. Bistline *et al.*, "Emissions and energy impacts of the Inflation Reduction Act," *Science*, vol. 380, no. 6652, pp. 1324–1327, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adg3781.

[23] J. Fan, J. Bai, Z. Li, A. Ortiz-Bobea, and C. P. Gomes, "A GNN-RNN Approach for Harnessing Geospatial and Temporal Information: Application to Crop Yield Prediction," in *Proceedings of the 36th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2022*, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 11873–11881, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v36i11.21444.

[24] O. I. K. Olanrewaju, D. E. Ekechukwu, and P. Simpa, "Driving energy transition through financial innovation: The critical role of Big Data and ESG metrics," *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 1434–1452, 2024, https://doi.org/10.51594/csitrj.v5i6.1226.

[25] K. P. Prakash and Y. V. P. Kumar, "Simple and effective descriptive analysis of missing data anomalies in smart home energy consumption readings," *Journal of Energy Systems*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 199–220, 2021, https://doi.org/10.30521/jes.878318.

[26] W. Strielkowski, A. Vlasov, K. Selivanov, K. Muraviev, and V. Shakhnov, "Prospects and Challenges of the Machine Learning and Data-Driven Methods for the Predictive Analysis of Power Systems: A Review," *Energies*, vol. 16, no. 10, p. 4025, 2023, https://doi.org/10.3390/en16104025.

[27] B. Cohen, A. Cowie, M. Babiker, A. Leip, and P. Smith, "Co-benefits and trade-offs of climate change mitigation actions and the Sustainable Development Goals," *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, vol. 26, pp. 805–813, 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.12.034.

[28] M. A. Halim, M. M. Hossain, and M. J. Nahar, "Development of a Nonlinear Harvesting Mechanism from Wide Band Vibrations," *International Journal of Robotics and Control Systems*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 467–476, 2022, https://doi.org/10.31763/ijrcs.v2i3.524.

[29] P. K. B. Sathvara, J. Anuradha, S. Tripathi, and R. Sanjeevi, "Prediction Models for Climate Change Using Artificial Intelligence," in *Artificial Intelligence Techniques for Sustainable Development*, CRC Press, 2024, pp. 359–379. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003546382-17.

[30] S. Karimi-Arpanahi, S. A. Pourmousavi, and N. Mahdavi, "Quantifying the predictability of renewable energy data for improving power systems decision-making," *Patterns*, vol. 4, no. 4, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2023.100708.

[31] A. Stratigakos, "Towards the Prescriptive Analytics Paradigm for Energy Forecasting and Power System Optimization," Université Paris sciences et lettres, 2023, https://pastel.hal.science/tel-04250526.

[32] T. Saha, A. Haque, M. A. Halim, and M. M. Hossain, "A Review on Energy Management of Community Microgrid with the use of Adaptable Renewable Energy Sources," *International Journal of Robotics and Control Systems*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 824–838, 2023, https://doi.org/10.31763/ijrcs.v3i4.1009.

[33] N. Ulibarri *et al.*, "A global assessment of policy tools to support climate adaptation," *Climate Policy*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 77–96, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2021.2002251.

[34] D. Satola *et al.*, "Comparative review of international approaches to net-zero buildings: Knowledge-sharing initiative to develop design strategies for greenhouse gas emissions reduction," *Energy for Sustainable Development*, vol. 71, pp. 291–306, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2022.10.005.

[35] M. A. Halim, M. M. Hossain, M. S. Islam, and E. Khatun, "A Review on Techniques and Challenges of Energy Harvesting from Ambient Sources," *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, vol. 13, no. 08, pp. 1254–1263, 2022, https://doi.org/10.14299/ijser.2022.08.07.

[36] J. P. Carvallo, N. M. Frick, and L. Schwartz, "A review of examples and opportunities to quantify the grid reliability and resilience impacts of energy efficiency," *Energy Policy*, vol. 169, p. 113185, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2022.113185.

[37] C. Camacho, P. Bower, R. T. Webb, and L. Munford, "Measurement of community resilience using the Baseline Resilience Indicator for Communities (BRIC) framework: A systematic review," *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, vol. 95, p. 103870, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.103870.

[38] T. Vaiyapuri and G. Julie, "AI and Geospatial Technologies for Climate Change Mitigation: Opportunities, Challenges, and Pathways to Sustainability," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 259, pp. 1346–1355, 2025, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2025.04.089.

[39] A. Ali, M. Z. Hadeed, S. Safavi, and M. Ahmad, "Leveraging GIS for environmental planning and management," in *Global Challenges for the Environment and Climate Change*, IGI Global, 2024, pp. 308–331. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2845-3.ch016.

[40] D. E. Banks, M. Paschke, R. Ghonasgi, and V. L. S. Thompson, "Benefits and challenges of geographic information systems (GIS) for data-driven outreach in black communities experiencing overdose disparities: results of a stakeholder focus group," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 24, no. 1, p. 2103, 2024, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-19541-3.

[41] H. Baldwin, W. J. Landesman, B. Borgmann-Winter, and D. Allen, "A Geographic Information System Approach to Map Tick Exposure Risk at a Scale for Public Health Intervention," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 162–172, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjab169.

[42] Y. Sun, Y. Li, R. Ma, C. Gao, and Y. Wu, "Mapping urban socio-economic vulnerability related to heat risk: A grid-based assessment framework by combing the geospatial big data," *Urban Climate*, vol. 43, p. 101169, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2022.101169.

[43] Y. Chowdhuri, E. Khatun, M. Hossain, A. Halim, M. Y. Chowdhuri, and M. M. Hossain, "Current Challenges and Future Prospects of Renewable Energy: A Case Study in Bangladesh," *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 576–582, 2023, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7866172.

[44] A. Ferry, M. Thebault, B. Nérot, L. Berrah, and C. Ménézo, "Modeling and analysis of rooftop solar potential in highland and lowland territories: Impact of mountainous topography," *Solar Energy*, vol. 275, p. 112632, 2024, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2024.112632.

[45] G. Maclaurin, N. Grue, A. Lopez, and D. Heimiller, "The Renewable Energy Potential (reV) Model: A Geospatial Platform for Technical Potential and Supply Curve Modeling," 2019, https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy19osti/73067.pdf.

[46] R. M. Johannsen, P. A. Østergaard, D. Maya-Drysdale, and L. K. E. Mouritsen, "Designing tools for energy system scenario making in municipal energy planning," *Energies*, vol. 14, no. 5, p. 1442, 2021, https://doi.org/10.3390/en14051442.

[47] L. Topaloglou and K. Nikolaidou, "Exploring Climate, Energy, and Environmental Justice in Climate Change, SDGs, and Transition Contexts," 2025, https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1010123.

[48] N. Popovich, A. J. Figueroa, D. A. Sunter, and M. Shah, "Identifying disadvantaged communities in the United States: An energy-oriented mapping tool that aggregates environmental and socioeconomic burdens," *Energy Research and Social Science*, vol. 109, p. 103391, 2024, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2023.103391.

[49] A. Li, M. Toll, and R. Bentley, "Mapping social vulnerability indicators to understand the health impacts of climate change: a scoping review," *The Lancet Planetary Health*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. e925–e937, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(23)00216-4.

[50] S. M. H. S. Rezvani, M. J. Falcão, D. Komljenovic, and N. M. de Almeida, "A Systematic Literature Review on Urban Resilience Enabled with Asset and Disaster Risk Management Approaches and GIS-Based Decision Support Tools," *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 2223, 2023, https://doi.org/10.3390/app13042223.

[51] P. Ang-E-Mwin Gyang, A. Ama Donkor, and D. A. Oware, "A Review of the use of GIS and Remote Sensing Technologies in Monitoring, Prediction, and Response to Natural Disasters in the U.S," *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com*, vol. 5, pp. 5976–5983, 2024, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390172019.

[52] A. T. D. Perera, K. Javanroodi, and V. M. Nik, "Climate resilient interconnected infrastructure: Co-optimization of energy systems and urban morphology," *Applied Energy*, vol. 285, p. 116430, 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.116430.

[53] S. Risch *et al.*, "Potentials of Renewable Energy Sources in Germany and the Influence of Land Use Datasets," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 15, p. 5536, 2022, https://doi.org/10.3390/en15155536.